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Introduction: Because laparoscopic appendectomy is a less invasive procedure with good results, it has become the accepted surgical treatment for acute appendicitis. In order to improve patient care, this study sought to thoroughly examine the methods, related hazards, and recuperation times of laparoscopic appendectomy.

Methodology: A retrospective cohort study comprising 87 patients who had laparoscopic appendectomy between June 2023 and May 2024 was carried out at District Headquarters (DHQ) Hospital Kohat. Patient demographics, surgery specifics, problems, and recovery results were all recorded. To assess the data, statistical analysis such as t-tests and chi-square tests were carried out.

Results: The study population had a mean age of 34.5 years, with a male to female ratio of 57.5%. Differences in surgical techniques, such as the use of SILS (13.8%) and advanced energy devices (39.1%), were noted. The incidence of complications was minimal, with 2.3% of patients experiencing intraoperative injuries, 8.0% experiencing postoperative infections, and 4.6% developing abscesses. There were no discernible variations between male and female patients' recovery results or complication rates.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that laparoscopic appendectomy is safe, effective, and has a low risk of complications and good recovery results when treating acute appendicitis. The results validate laparoscopic procedures as the gold standard surgical method. To improve surgical methods and postoperative care guidelines and eventually improve patient outcomes, further research is necessary.
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Materials and methods

Study Design and Setting
This retrospective cohort study was conducted at the District Headquarters (DHQ) Hospital in Kohat. The study aimed to analyze the techniques, associated risks, and recovery processes of laparoscopic appendectomy to enhance patient care.

Study Population
Patients who had a laparoscopic appendectomy at DHQ Hospital Kohat made up the research population. Patients with acute appendicitis who were between the ages of 18 and 65 and who gave their permission to be included in the research qualified. Patients who had prior abdominal operations, known malignancies, or who converted to an open appendectomy were not eligible.

Sample Size Calculation
Using a method designed for cohort studies, the sample size was determined with consideration for 80% power, a 95% confidence level, and a 15% predicted complication rate from prior research. According to the computation, statistically meaningful findings needed 87 patients at the very least.

Data Collection
Data were gathered retroactively from medical records between June 2023 and May 2024, a full year. Patient demographics, clinical presentation, surgical specifics, problems both during and after surgery, and recovery results were among the information gathered. Missing data were addressed using multiple imputation techniques. Quality control measures included double-checking data entry and validation against original records.

Procedure
Every patient had a conventional procedure laparoscopic appendectomy done by skilled surgeons. Small incisions were made in order to introduce a laparoscope and surgical equipment, see the appendix, dissect and remove the appendix, secure the appendicular stump, and then close the incisions. Technique variations, like the use of sophisticated energy devices and single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS), were recorded.

Data Analysis
Data analysis was done with statistical tools. Demographics and clinical features of the patients were compiled using descriptive statistics. Complication incidence and recovery outcomes were assessed by comparative analysis. For categorical and continuous factors, respectively, chi-square tests and t-tests were applied. A statistically significant value was deemed to have p-value <0.05.

Ethical Considerations
The Ethical Review Board of DHQ Hospital Kohat approved the project. Every patient provided informed consent, and their privacy was protected throughout the research. This approach enabled the study to provide a comprehensive understanding of the methods, risks, and recovery periods associated with laparoscopic appendectomy, thereby improving patient care. Data were anonymized to protect patient privacy during analysis and reporting.

Results
A total of 87 patients who underwent laparoscopic appendectomy at DHQ Hospital Kohat from June 2023 to May 2024 were included in the study. In order to get understanding of the patient profile having laparoscopic appendectomy, the demographic and clinical features of the study group were examined. The patients were 34.5 years old on average, with a 12.3 year standard deviation. The gender distribution revealed a greater proportion of
males—57.5% of the patients—than of females—42.5%. The patients had a mostly normal weight range, as seen by their average body mass index (BMI) of 25.8 kg/m². Acute appendicitis should be treated quickly, as seen by the average 2.1 day duration of symptoms before seeking medical assistance. 17.2% of the patients had comorbidities, which emphasizes the need of treating appendicitis while taking underlying medical issues into account as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>34.5 ± 12.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>50/37</td>
<td>57.5/42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.8 ± 4.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms (days)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 shows surgical specifics that provide light on the laparoscopic appendectomy procedure. A considerable length of the operation was indicated by the mean operating time of 65.2 minutes with a standard variation of 20.7 minutes. Sliding toward less invasive methods, single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) was used in 13.8% of the cases. 39.1% of cases used advanced energy devices, demonstrating how contemporary technology is being used in surgery. Remarkably, there were no cases of open surgery conversion, indicating that laparoscopic operations were successfully finished without the need for other methods.

Figure 1: Surgical Details

Figure 2 lists all of the intraoperative and postoperative problems that patients having laparoscopic appendectomy experience. Two patients—or 2.3% of the research population—had organ damage as one of the intraoperative consequences. Three patients—or 3.4% of cases—had intraoperative hemorrhage. Seven patients experienced infections after surgery, for an 8.0% rate. In four patients—or 4.6% of the cohort—abcesses formed. Two patients, or 2.3% of instances, also had port site hernias found. These results emphasize the need of keeping an eye on and controlling the risks related to laparoscopic appendectomy in order to guarantee the best possible results for the patient.

Figure 2: Complications

Patients having the operation spent an average of 2.7 days in the hospital, with a standard variation of 1.1 days, suggesting a reasonably short hospital stay. With a standard variation of 3.5 days, patients usually returned to normal activities 10.2 days after surgery, indicating a modest healing duration. On day seven following surgery, pain levels, as gauged by the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), fell precipitously from an average score of 4.2 ± 1.5 on day one, suggesting successful pain control and a promising course for postoperative recovery. These results emphasize the need of keeping an eye on and maximizing postoperative care in order to promote quick healing and raise patient comfort and satisfaction. As shown in table 2.

Table 2: Postoperative Recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to resume normal activities (days)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score (VAS) on day 1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score (VAS) on day 7</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incidence of problems and the course of recovery for male and female patients were evaluated by comparative studies. Results of these studies are reported in figure 3. A significant gender difference was not seen (p = 0.82); intraoperative injuries were recorded in 2% of male patients and 2.7% of female patients. Comparably, the rate of intraoperative bleeding did not differ significantly by gender (p = 0.71); it was 4% in men and 2.7% in women. Eight percent of male patients and eight percent of female patients had postoperative infections; there was no statistically significant difference (p = 0.98). No significant gender-based difference was found (p = 0.76); abscess development occurred in 4% of male patients and 5.4% of female patients. Furthermore, there was no significant gender difference (p = 0.82) in the reported port site hernias in 2% of male patients and 2.7% of female patients. The results imply that the frequency of problems after laparoscopic appendectomy is not much influenced by gender.
Discussion
As with other studies, the results of this one confirm the safety and effectiveness of laparoscopic appendectomy. With a little larger percentage of males (57.5%) than females (42.5%), the study population had an average age of 34.5 years. Other research indicating a comparable gender ratio and age range for patients having laparoscopic appendectomy agrees with this demographic pattern. The usual range of operating times described in the literature was met by the mean operative time of 65.2 minutes in this investigation. With 13.8% of cases using single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) and 39.1% using advanced energy devices, minimally invasive procedures and the utilization of new technology in surgical practice are becoming more and more common.

Complication rates were not much impacted by these differences, indicating that these cutting-edge methods are practical and safe choices. Within the research, there were few complications overall: 2.3% of patients had intraoperative injuries, 3.4% had intraoperative bleeding, 8.0% had postoperative infections, 4.6% had abscesses, and 2.3% had port site hernias. These results agree with what earlier research has revealed. This is consistent with the larger literature and shows that the risk of complications following laparoscopic appendectomy is not much influenced by gender. Furthermore, the lack of open surgery conversions in this study emphasizes the skill of the surgical team and the effectiveness of laparoscopic procedures in the treatment of acute appendicitis. 10.2 days passed between the hospital stay and the return to regular activities. These findings agree with those of other research that indicate a laparoscopic appendectomy typically requires a two to three day hospital stay and a ten to fourteen day recovery time. Day 1 (4.2) to day 7 (1.8) pain ratings on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) showed good pain control and a quick surgical recovery. This is consistent with the enhanced recovery protocols (ERPs) that are described in the literature that stress early mobilization and multimodal analgesia to boost recovery results. No statistically significant variations in the frequencies of complications or the course of recovery between male and female patients were found by comparative analysis. These results reinforce that laparoscopic appendectomy is the best surgical treatment for acute appendicitis and is generally applicable to a variety of patient groups. The results of this work agree with the known advantages of laparoscopic appendectomy as compared to earlier studies.

Limitations and Future Research
While this study provides useful insights, it is constrained by its retrospective methodology and single-center setting, which may impact the generalizability of the findings. Future research should involve multicenter trials with bigger sample numbers and longer follow-up periods to better understand long-term results and the influence of different surgical procedures and technology. Additionally, additional study is needed to develop enhanced recovery treatments and adjust them to individual patient requirements and institutional.
capacities.

**Conclusion**

Finally, this work offers strong proof in favor of the effectiveness, safety, and good results of laparoscopic appendectomy for acute appendicitis. We report minimal complication rates, brief hospital stays, and quick surgical recovery, which is consistent with other studies. Complication rates were not much affected by the application of cutting-edge surgical methods and equipment, like SILS and sophisticated energy devices. Gender also made no difference in the chance of problems or the course of healing. These findings emphasize the advantages of laparoscopic appendectomy for patient care and recovery, therefore reinforcing its position as the recommended surgical treatment for acute appendicitis. More study is needed going ahead to improve postoperative care protocols and surgical procedures, which would eventually improve patient outcomes and the standard of treatment.
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